

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. | |
|--|------------------|----------------------|-------------------------|------------------|--|
| 10/667,396 | 09/23/2003 | Takeshi Yoneda | 032405R156 | 9368 | |
| 441 7: | 590 05/02/2006 | | EXAMINER | | |
| | MBRELL & RUSSELI | MANCHO, RONNIE M | | | |
| 1850 M STREET, N.W., SUITE 800 WASHINGTON, DC 20036 | | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER | |
| | • | | 3663 | | |
| | | | DATE MAILED: 05/02/2006 | 5 | |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

| Application No. | Applicant(s) | |
|-----------------|-----------------|--|
| 10/667,396 | YONEDA, TAKESHI | |
| Examiner | Art Unit | |
| Ronnie Mancho | 3663 | |

| zoroto uto t ming ot un rippour zorot | Examiner | Artonit | |
|---|--|---|--|
| | Ronnie Mancho | 3663 | |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication appe | ears on the cover sheet with the c | correspondence add | ress |
| THE REPLY FILED <u>10 April 2006</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS APP | LICATION IN CONDITION FOR AL | LOWANCE. | |
| 1. A The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or or this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Not a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliant time periods: | n the same day as filing a Notice of wing replies: (1) an amendment, aff otice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in o | Appeal. To avoid aba idavit, or other evider compliance with 37 C | nce, which FR 41.31; or (3) |
| a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date | | | |
| b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire I | ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing | g date of the final rejecti | on. |
| Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 7 | 06.07(f). | | |
| Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of exunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) | tension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply origing than three months after the mailing da | of the fee. The approprinally set in the final Offi | ate extension fee ce action; or (2) a |
| NOTICE OF APPEAL | | | |
| The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exte a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed AMENDMENTS | nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to | avoid dismissal of th | |
| | hut minute the date of films a brief | ما المسموسة معا فمسالات | |
| 3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, (a) They raise new issues that would require further co | nsideration and/or search (see NO | | ecause |
| (b) ☐ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo (c) ☐ They are not deemed to place the application in belo | • | duoina or cimplifyina | the incues for |
| appeal; and/or | tter form for appear by materially re | ducing or simplifying | ule issues ioi |
| (d) They present additional claims without canceling a | corresponding number of finally rej | ected claims. | |
| NOTE: See Continuation Sheet. (See 37 CFR 1.1 | | | |
| 1. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1 | 21. See attached Notice of Non-Co | mpliant Amendment | (PTOL-324). |
| 5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s) | : | | |
| Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s). | • | • | • |
| 7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: | ☑ will not be entered, or b) ☑ wil vided below or appended. | ll be entered and an e | explanation of |
| Claim(s) objected to: | | | |
| Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-30</u> . Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: | | | |
| AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE | | | |
| The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, bu because applicant failed to provide a showing of good anwas not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). | | | |
| The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary | overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appea | al and/or appellant fai | ls to provide a |
| 10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanatio REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER | • | | |
| 1. The request for reconsideration has been considered bu | t does NOT place the application in | condition for allowar | nce because: |
| 2. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). | (PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper N | lo(s) | |
| 3. Other: | | | |
| | SUPERVISORY PA | 1/ | |
| | JACK | KEITH | |
| | SUPERVISORY PA | ALFIA! EV | |
| | | | |

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 7-05) Continuation of 3. NOTE: The applicant has canceled some claims or in general amended the claims. The applicant has amended the claims for example by changing "so as to effectively suppress a wheel slippage by adequately setting a ratio of control" to "so as to suppress a wheel slippage by setting a ratio of clutch torque". The amendments and newly raised arguments require further search and consideration.